

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Antrez Manuel,

Plaintiff

v.

Bridge Property Management,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:22-cv-01586-JAD-NJK

**Order Adopting Report and
Recommendation, Dismissing Case, and
Denying Motion for Leave to Proceed *in
forma pauperis***

[ECF Nos. 8, 10]

The magistrate judge has screened plaintiff Antrez Manuel's amended complaint,¹ finds that his allegations continue to fall short of stating a colorable claim, and recommends that I dismiss Manuel's action without leave to amend.² The deadline for any party to object to that recommendation was November 9, 2022, and no party filed anything or asked to extend the deadline to do so. "[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed."³ Having reviewed the report and recommendation, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do.

¹ ECF No. 7.

² ECF No. 10.

³ *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation
2 [ECF No. 10] is **ADOPTED** in its entirety. This case is **DISMISSED** without leave to amend
3 because it appears that amendment would be futile. The motion for leave to proceed *in forma*
4 *pauperis* [ECF No. 8] is **DENIED as moot. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE THIS**
5 **CASE.**

6
7 

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
Dated: November 29, 2022